REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS (RFQ) ADDENDUM

Bee County Courthouse Repairs and Assessment



Addendum No.: 1

RFQ Number: <u>24/25-003</u>

Due Date/Time: February 18, 2025 @ 2:00 PM

Date of Addendum: January 24, 2025

RFQ Name: <u>Bee County Courthouse Repairs</u>

Revised Date/Time: January 24, 2025 @ 1:45 PM

SCOPE OF ADDENDUM

This addendum shall specify any additions and/or revisions to the RFP. Revisions to any pre-existing language in the RFP are indicated by a strike through for deletions and <u>underlining</u> for insertions.

REVISION 1 CHANGE

This addendum is to specify the changes made to the original Engineer Rating Sheet, with the updated Engineer Rating Sheet attached to this document.

Experience: Factor 1 – Has previously designed <u>historical</u> type of projects. Factor 2 – Has <u>previously</u> worked on <u>Texas Historical Commission</u> funded projects. (Removed federally construction) Removed Factor 5 – Current Certification of TxCDBG Project Implementation Training

This addendum shall become part of the RFP and **MAY** be returned with the RFP.

RESPONDER:	
NAME:	DATE:
SIGNATURE:	TITLE:

Engineer Rating Sheet

County Of Bee Name		e of Respondent		
-		Date of Rating		
Experier	<u>ice</u> Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas			Comments
	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	Has previously designed historical type of projects	20		
2.	Has previously worked on Texas Historical Commission funded projects	10		
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10		
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)	•		
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	20		
	Subtotal, Experience	60		
<u>Work Pe</u>	rformance			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10		
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5		
3.	Work product is of high quality	10		
	Subtotal, Performance	25		
NOTE: Inform	, nation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting p			
Capacity	<u>to Perform</u>			
	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5		
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5		
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5		
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15		
TOTAL S				
_	Factor	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
	Experience	60		
	Work Performance	25		
	Capacity to Perform	15		
	Total Score	100		